

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/625,514	07/24/2003	Bong-seog Song	1293.1886	9018	
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 02/25/200 LSEY LLP	9	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
······································	71, DC 2000		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/625,514	SONG, BONG-SEOG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affliads, in orther etinee, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.	. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A	brief in compliance with 3	7 CFR 41.37 must be file	ed within two months of the d	ate of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a))	, or any extension thereof	(37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a	woid dismissal of the appeal.	Since a
	Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mu	ust be filed within the time	period set forth in 37 C	FR 41.37(a).	

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ______.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the ____ non-allowable claim(s).

7.
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b)
will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,11-15,19-27,29 and 30.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence falled to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellatis to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. 🔲 Other: ____

/King Y. Poon/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner understands that the references used still teach the claim limitations. Applicant's argument regarding "Reliman does not discuss or suggest storing, printing and receiving SMS short messages. Reliman only discusses typical facsimile messages, but does not suggest that such message are SMS short messages the messages discussed in Reliman are not SMS short messages and thus do not have the problems inherit MSM short messages being transmitted over a wired network" has been fully considered, in response examiner would like to point out that the Werner reference has been used for teaching a SMS message being printed and stored, but since it was not explicitly stated that it can be done according to user selection, the Reliman reference has been added to show that in a fax machine the user can easily select what to do with a message, and by message this reference could include any time of message which encompass any past of tuture type of message that can be received and stored in the facsimile machine not which once stored the user can go to the facsimile machine and press print, for example, to

Applicant's argument regarding "merely reciting that combining Werner and Relifman would be obvious because" doing so the user has the options of 'activities' to perform with regards to the received messages, thus making the system user-friendles does not suggest how or why the SMS short messages of Werner could be modified in the manner of typical facsimile messages of Reliman to perform the storing, printing and deleting functions of Reliman' has been fully considered, in response the examiner would like to point out that the secondary reference Reliman has being cited with the mere purpose of showing the teaching that if a message, no matter what, it's received and stored in a facsimile machine as stated in the Werner reference, once in storage could be easily printed or deleted by the selection of an option or button in the facsimile machine since facsimile machines does have the capability of printing every message it receives and stores as shown in Reliman, thus it would be desirable for the Werner reference's facsimile device to allow a user to select what to do with the MSM message received and not only to perform an automatic function as disclosed in the reference in paragraph (2022) and (2022).